App. Serial No.: 09/776,730 Atty Docket No. HAR59 029 Petition Under 37 C.F.R. 1.181(a)

# **EXHIBIT E**

Copy of the Petition and Declaration of Facts filed by Applicant's representative, along with a copy of the facsimile confirmation showing successful transmission to the USPTO on May 16, 2005.

# MESSAGE CONFIRMATION

## MAY-16-2005 04:29 PM MON

FAX NUMBER

416 971 6638

NAME

DIMOCK STRATTON

NAME/NUMBER

\*223\*06630151\*15712730025

PAGE

: 29

START TIME

MAY-16-2005 04:26PM MON

ELAPSED TIME

03′52"

MODE RESULTS STD ECM

: [ O.K ]

ENTERED MAY 1 6 2005



### DIMOCK STRATTON LLP

Barristers and Solicitors . Patent and Trade-Mark Agents



DATE: May 16, 2005

TO: NAME & FIRM	PHONE#	FAX#
Office of Petitions United States Patent and	1-571-272-3282	1-571-273-0025
Trademark Office		

FROM:

Mark B. Eisen

OUR FILE:

663-151/MBE

TOTAL PAGES SENT (including this page): 29

Confirmation of facsimile to follow: Yes X No, unless requested

If you do not receive all the pages of this facsimile clearly, please call Liz Forster at (416) 971-7202.

#### NOTES:

Re: U.S. Patent Application No. 09/776,730

Documents Enclosed: Petition Requesting Withdrawal of a Holding of Abandonment (in duplicate), Declaration of Mark B. Eisen, Copy of the Applicant's letter of February 3, 2005, Memorandum to File of June 15, 2004, Copy of the Applicant's letter of April 28, 2004 with enclosures, Copy of Response and Petition for Extension of Time filed January 9, 2004.

This fax may contain information which is confidential and privileged. If you are not the intended recipient of this fax, you are not permitted to make use of this fax.

If you have received this in error, please notify the sender.



# DIMOCK STRATTON LLP

Barristers and Solicitors • Patent and Trade-Mark Agents



DATE:

May 16, 2005

To: Name & Firm	PHONE #	FAX#
Office of Petitions United States Patent and Trademark Office	1-571-272-3282	1-571-273-0025

FROM:

Mark B. Eisen

OUR FILE:

663-151/MBE

TOTAL PAGES SENT (including this page): 29

Confirmation of facsimile to follow: Yes X No, unless requested

If you do not receive all the pages of this facsimile clearly, please call Liz Forster at (416) 971-7202.

#### NOTES:

U.S. Patent Application No. 09/776,730 Re:

Documents Enclosed: Petition Requesting Withdrawal of a Holding of Abandonment (in duplicate), Declaration of Mark B. Eisen, Copy of the Applicant's letter of February 3, 2005, Memorandum to File of June 15, 2004, Copy of the Applicant's letter of April 28, 2004 with enclosures, Copy of Response and Petition for Extension of Time filed January 9, *2004*.

This fax may contain information which is confidential and privileged. If you are not the intended recipient of this fax, you are not permitted to make use of this fax.

If you have received this in error, please notify the sender.

#### **CERTIFICATE OF FACSIMILE TRANSMISSION**

I hereby certify that this document and the documents indicated as enclosed herewith are being sent by facsimile transmission to the United States Patent and Trademark Office on the date set forth below.

May 16, 2005

Date

Mark H. Eisen

Registration No. 33088

#### IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant:

David Duncan

Application No.:

09/776,730

Filed:

February 6, 2001

Art Unit:

2654

Examiner:

Angela A. Armstrong

Title:

METHOD AND APPARATUS FOR PACKING AND DECODING

AUDIO AND OTHER DATA

Attorney's Ref.:

663-151/MBE

Attention: Office of Petitions Commissioner for Patents U.S. Patent and Trademark Office Customer Window, Mail Stop Petition Randolph Building 401 Dulany Street Alexandria, VA 22314 U.S.A.

Dear Sir:

# PETITION UNDER 37 C.F.R. 1.181(a) REQUESTING WITHDRAWAL OF A HOLDING OF ABANDONMENT

 Applicant hereby petitions to withdraw the holding of abandonment dated March 1, 2005, for applicant's alleged failure to file a proper reply to the Official Letter dated August 11, 2003.
 The ground for this Petition is that applicant did in fact file a proper reply to the Official Letter dated August 11, 2003.

- 2. An Official Letter was mailed August 11, 2003, requiring a response by November 11, 2003. Applicant filed the response and Petition for Extension of Time of two months attached hereto, with Certificate of Facsimile Transmission, by facsimile transmission on January 9, 2004. Also attached is a copy of the facsimile cover sheet accompanying applicant's response, and the facsimile confirmation sheet showing the successful transmission of applicant's response on that date.
- 3. Applicant accordingly submits that the holding of abandonment is in error.
- 4. A Declaration of the undersigned attesting to the above facts accompanies this Petition.

The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any fees to our Deposit Account No. 500663. A duplicate copy of this Petition is enclosed if required for this purpose.

All of which is respectfully submitted.

Executed at Toronto, Ontario, Canada on May 16, 2005.

Mark B Eisen

Registration No. 33088 Customer No. 38735

MBE:If

Atts: Declaration of Mark B. Eisen with attachments

Copy of Response and Petition for Extension of Time filed January 9, 2004

# CERTIFICATE OF FACSIMILE TRANSMISSION



I hereby certify that this document and the documents indicated as enclosed herewith are being sent by facsimile transmission to the United States Patent and Trademark Office on the date set forth below.

May 16, 2005 Date

Mark B. Eisen Registration No. 33088

# IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant:

David Duncan

Application No.:

09/776,730

Filed:

February 6, 2001

Art Unit:

2654

Examiner:

Angela A. Armstrong

Title:

METHOD AND APPARATUS FOR PACKING AND DECODING

AUDIO AND OTHER DATA

Attorney's Ref.:

663-151/MBE

Attention: Office of Petitions
Commissioner for Patents
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office
Customer Window, Mail Stop Petition
Randolph Building
401 Dulany Street
Alexandria, VA 22314
U.S.A.

Dear Sir:

# PETITION UNDER 37 C.F.R. 1.181(a) REQUESTING WITHDRAWAL OF A HOLDING OF ABANDONMENT

 Applicant hereby petitions to withdraw the holding of abandonment dated March 1, 2005, for applicant's alleged failure to file a proper reply to the Official Letter dated August 11, 2003. The ground for this Petition is that applicant did in fact file a proper reply to the Official Letter dated August 11, 2003.

- 2. An Official Letter was mailed August 11, 2003, requiring a response by November 11, 2003. Applicant filed the response and Petition for Extension of Time of two months attached hereto, with Certificate of Facsimile Transmission, by facsimile transmission on January 9, 2004. Also attached is a copy of the facsimile cover sheet accompanying applicant's response, and the facsimile confirmation sheet showing the successful transmission of applicant's response on that date.
- 3. Applicant accordingly submits that the holding of abandonment is in error.
- 4. A Declaration of the undersigned attesting to the above facts accompanies this Petition.

The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any fees to our Deposit Account No. 500663. A duplicate copy of this Petition is enclosed if required for this purpose.

All of which is respectfully submitted.

Executed at Toronto, Ontario, Canada on May 16, 2005.

Mark B. Eisen

Registration No. 33088

Customer No. 38735

MBE:If

Atts: Declaration of Mark B. Eisen with attachments

Copy of Response and Petition for Extension of Time filed January 9, 2004

# IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Application No.:

09/776,730

Filed:

February 6, 2001

Applicant:

David Duncan

Examiner:

Angela A. Armstrong

Art Unit:

2654

Attorney's Ref.:

663-151/MBE

Title:

METHOD AND APPARATUS FOR PACKING AND DECODING

AUDIO AND OTHER DATA

Commissioner for Patents
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office
Alexandria, VA 22314
U.S.A.

Dear Sir:

#### **DECLARATION**

The undersigned being hereby warned that wilful false statements and the like so made are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under 18 U.S.C. 1001, and that such wilful false statements may jeopardize the validity of the application or any patent resulting therefrom, declares that:

- 1. The Applicant timely filed a proper reply to the Official Letter dated August 11, 2003.
- 2. An Official Letter was mailed August 11, 2003, requiring a response by November 11, 2003. The Applicant filed the response and Petition for Extension of Time of two months attached to the accompanying Petition to Withdraw a Holding of Abandonment ("the Petition"), with a duly executed Certificate of Facsimile Transmission, by facsimile transmission on January 9, 2004. Also attached to the Petition is a copy of the facsimile cover sheet accompanying the Applicant's response, and the facsimile confirmation sheet showing the successful transmission of the Applicant's response on that date.
- 3. I spoke to Examiner Patrick Edward on April 27, 2004, who called me to advise that no response had been filed. The undersigned advised Examiner Edward that a response had been filed, and the next day faxed to Examiner Edward's attention all the documentation that is attached to the accompanying Petition, under cover of a letter dated April 28, 2004. A copy of

the Applicant's letter is attached to this Declaration along with a copy of the facsimile confirmation showing successful transmission to the PTO on that date.

- 4. No further communication was received until June 15, 2004, at which time Examiner Vijay Chawan called my office and spoke to my patent clerk Liz Forster, asking if I had filed a response. Ms. Forster advised Examiner Chawan that the response had been filed on January 2, 2004 and that a copy had been sent to Examiner Edward in response to his inquiry on April 28, 2004.
- Examiner Chawan advised Ms. Forster that Examiner Chawan would call our office back if
  the response needed to be re-sent to the PTO. A copy of a Memorandum to File prepared by
  Ms. Forster on the day of the call from Examiner Chawan is attached.
- 6. I therefore understood that no further action in connection with the response would be required by the Applicant unless Examiner Chawan called back as indicated. Examiner Chawan never called my office back, and I thus verily believed that the Applicant's response had been located and was being processed. In fact, I filed a request on February 3, 2005, copy enclosed, requesting an indication of when further action would be taken.
- 7. The next action received in this application was Notice of Abandonment, which was received by my office on March 15, 2005.
- 8. All statements made of my own knowledge are true and all statements made on information and belief are believed to be true.

Executed at Toronto, Ontario, Canada on May 16, 2005.

Mark B. Eisen

Registration No. 33088

Customer No. 38735

MBE:lf

Att.: Copy of the Applicant's letter of February 3, 2005

Memorandum to File dd. June 15, 2004

Memorandum to File dd. June 15, 2004

Memorandum to File dd. June 15, 2004

Copy of the Applicant's letter of April 28, 2004 with enclosures



#### DIMOCK STRATTON LLP

Intellectual Property Law

MARK B. EISEN Ext. 242 meisen@dimock.com

Certified by the Law Society as a Specialist in Intellectual Property (Patent) Law

SENT By FACSIMILE (703) 746-9430 PRIVILEGED & CONFIDENTIAL

February 3, 2005

Commissioner for Patents
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office
Group Art Unit 2697
2011 South Clark Place
Crystal Plaza 2, Lobby, Room 1B03
Arlington, VA 22202
U.S.A.

Dear Sirs:

Re:

United States Patent Application No. 09/776,730

Title:

METHOD AND APPARATUS FOR PACKING

AND DECODING AUDIO AND OTHER DATA

Applicant:

Innovision Limited

Our Ref.:

663-151/MBE

Examiner Chawan telephoned on June 15, 2004, to confirm that we had filed a response to the non-final rejection mailed August 11, 2003. We confirmed that a response had been filed (with a petition for a two-month extension of time) on January 9, 2004, and this response was re-sent by facsimile on April 28, 2004, in response to a request from Examiner Lowe.

However, to date no further action has been taken in this file. Accordingly, please advise when we should expect to receive further action in this application.

Yours very truly,

DIMOCK STRATTON LLP

MARK B. EISEN

MBE:vg

# MEMO TO FILE

DATE:

June 15, 2004

FILE:

663-151/MBE

RE:

Response to Office Action dated August 11, 2003

Examiner Vijay Chawan of the USPTO called today to ask if we had filed a response in this application. I advised her that we had originally filed the response with Examiner Lowe on January 9, 2004 by fax with a two-month extension of time and that we had resent it to the same fax number on April 28, 2004 in response to a telephone call from Patrick Edward of the USPTO saying that they had not received the fax.

She advised that the Examiner in charge of the file had left and that the file was put on her docket, but that when she checked the file it did not contain a response to the office action of Aug. 11/03. She also seemed surprised that the file had been put on her docket.

She said she will check into this matter and will call me if the response needs to be refaxed.

Liz Forster

#### MESSAGE CONFIRMATION

#### APR-28-2004 01:17 PM WED

FAX NUMBER

NAME

DIMOCK STRATTON

NAME/NUMBER

\*223\*06630151\*17037469430

PAGE

START TIME

APR-28-2004 01:14PM WED

ELAPSED TIME

03'06"

MODE

STD ECM

RESULTS

[ O.K ]



## DIMOCK STRATTON CLARIZIO LLP

Barristers and Solicitors . Patent and Trade-Mark Agents



DATE:

April 28, 2004

To: Name & Firm	PHONE#	FAX#
Examiner Treffaney R. Lowe United States Patent and Trademark Office GROUP ART UNIT: 2697	1-703-305-5593	1-703-746-9430

FROM:

Mark B. Eisen

OUR FILE:

663-151/MBE

TOTAL PAGES SENT (including this page): 11

Confirmation of facsimile to follow: Yes X No, unless requested

If you do not receive all the pages of this facsimile clearly, please call Liz Forster at (416) 971-7202.

#### NOTES:

Re: U.S. Patent Application No. 09/776,730

Documents Enclosed: Confirmation of successful facsimile transmission, facsimile cover sheet, applicant's response dated January 9, 2004 (signature page in duplicate) with the Certificate of Facsimile Transmission, Petition for two month extension of time (in duplicate).

This fax may contain information which is confidential and privileged. If you are not the intended recipient of this fax, you are not permitted to make use of this fax.

If you have received this in error, please notify the sender.



# DIMOCK STRATTON CLARIZIO LLP

Barristers and Solicitors • Patent and Trade-Mark Agents



DATE:

April 28, 2004

	1	l
To: Name & Firm	PHONE#	FAX#
Examiner Treffaney R. Lowe United States Patent and Trademark Office GROUP ART UNIT: 2697	1-703-305-5593	1-703-746-9430

FROM:

Mark B. Eisen

OUR FILE:

663-151/MBE

TOTAL PAGES SENT (including this page):

Confirmation of facsimile to follow: Yes X No, unless requested

If you do not receive all the pages of this facsimile clearly, please call Liz Forster at (416) 971-7202.

#### NOTES:

U.S. Patent Application No. 09/776,730 Re:

Documents Enclosed: Confirmation of successful facsimile transmission, facsimile cover sheet, applicant's response dated January 9, 2004 (signature page in duplicate) with the Certificate of Facsimile Transmission, Petition for two month extension of time (in duplicate).

This fax may contain information which is confidential and privileged. If you are not the intended recipient of this fax, you are not permitted to make use of this fax.

If you have received this in error, please notify the sender.

## DIMOCK STRATTON LLP

Intellectual Property Law

MARK B. EISEN Ext. 242 meisen@dimock.com

Certified by the Law Society as a Specialist in Intellectual Property (Patent) Law

SENT BY FAX (703) 746-9430

April 28, 2004

Commissioner for Patents U.S. Patent and Trademark Office 2011 South Clark Place Crystal Plaza 2, Lobby, Room 1B03 Arlington, VA 22202 U.S.A.

Attention:

Examiner Treffaney R. Lowe

Dear Sir:

Re:

United States Patent Application No. 09/776,730

Filing Date: February 6, 2001

**Art Unit:** 

2697

Title:

METHOD AND APPARATUS FOR PACKING AND

DECODING AUDIO AND OTHER DATA

Applicant:

**Innovision Limited** 

Our File:

663-151/MBE

This is further to the telephone conversation between the undersigned and Mr. Patrick Edward on April 27, 2004, in which I was advised that no response had been received in reply to the Office Action dated August 11, 2003.

The applicant did timely file a response to the office action mailed August 11, 2003. The response was filed by facsimile on January 9, 2004 with a Petition for a two month extension of time for responding.

As proof thereof, I attach a copy of the applicant's response dated January 9, 2004 with the Certificate of Facsimile Transmission and the Petition for a two month extension; a copy of the facsimile cover page sent with the response; and a copy of the facsimile confirmation sheet confirming successful transmission of the Response, Petition and cover sheet on that date.

Further action is therefore respectfully requested.

Yours very truly,
DIMOCK STRATTON LLP

MARK B. EISEN

(Regn. No. 33,088)

MBE:If

Encls.

# MESSAGE CONFIRMATION

## JAN-09-2004 12:47 PM FRI

FAX NUMBER

NAME

:

NAME/NUMBER

\*315\*06630151\*17037469430

PAGE

: 7

START TIME

: JAN-09-2004 12:45PM FRI

ELAPSED TIME

01'40"

MODE

STD ECM

RESULTS

: [O.K]



# DIMOCK STRATTON CLARIZIO LLP

Barristers and Solicitors • Patent and Trade-Mark Agents



DATE: January 9, 2004

TO: NAME & FIRM	PHONE#	FAX#
Examiner Treffaney R. Lowe United States Patent and Trademark Office GROUP ART UNIT: 2697	1-703-305-5593	1-703-746-9430

FROM:

Mark B. Eisen

OUR FILE:

663-151/MBE

TOTAL PAGES SENT (including this page): 7

Confirmation of facsimile to follow: Yes X No, unless requested

If you do not receive all the pages of this facsimile clearly, please call Janice Ranco at (416) 971-7202.

#### NOTES:

Re: U.S. Patent Application No. 09/776,730

Documents Enclosed: Response to Office Action of August 11, 2003 (signature page in duplicate); Petition for 2 month extension of time (in duplicate).

This fax may contain information which is confidential and privileged. If you are not the intended recipient of this fax, you are not permitted to make use of this fax.

If you have received this in error, please notify the sender.



## DIMOCK STRATTON CLARIZIO LLP

Barristers and Solicitors • Patent and Trade-Mark Agents



DATE:

January 9, 2004

To: Name & Firm	PHONE#	FAX#
Examiner Treffaney R. Lowe United States Patent and Trademark Office GROUP ART UNIT: 2697	1-703-305-5593	1-703-746-9430

FROM:

Mark B. Eisen

OUR FILE:

663-151/MBE

TOTAL PAGES SENT (including this page):

Confirmation of facsimile to follow: Yes X No, unless requested

If you do not receive all the pages of this facsimile clearly, please call Janice Ranco at (416) 971-7202.

#### NOTES:

U.S. Patent Application No. 09/776,730 Re:

Documents Enclosed: Response to Office Action of August 11, 2003 (signature page in duplicate); Petition for 2 month extension of time (in duplicate).

This fax may contain information which is confidential and privileged. If you are not the intended recipient of this fax, you are not permitted to make use of this fax.

If you have received this in error, please notify the sender.

## IN THE UN. ED STATES PATENT AND TRAL\_MARK OFFICE

09/776,730

Serial No.:

Filin	g Date:	February 6, 2001		
Appl	licant:	Innovision Limited		
Title	:	METHOD AND APPARATA		OR PACKING AND DECODING
Exan	niner:	Treffaney R. Lowe		
Attor	ney's Ref:	663-151/MBE		•
U.S. 2011 Cryst	missioner of Pate Patent and Trade South Clark Plac al Plaza 2, Lobby gton, VA 22202	mark Office ce y, Room 1B03		
Dear	Sir:			
		PETITION FOR EXTE	NSIO	N OF TIME
	5(a) for: ( ) or	that the time for taking action ne month o months	( )	is case be extended pursuant to 37 CFR three months four months
The fe	ee set in 37 CFR	§1.17 for the extension of tim	e is \$	420.
( )	Applicant is a s	mall entity entitled to pay red	luced	fees in this application.
( )	Our check in th	e amount of \$ is enclosed.		
(X)	Fee of \$420. is a paper is enclose		Accoi	unt No. 500663. A duplicate of this
(X)		o our Deposit Account No. 50	-	iciency or credit any overpayment in  A duplicate of this paper is enclosed
Also e	nclosed is a:	•		
	(X) Response ( ) Other: [pr	( ) Notice of Appeal ovide details]	l	( ) Appeal Brief
				by Mark B. Eisen Reg. No. 33088 Date: January 9, 2004

# IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Serial	No.:	09/776,730		
Filing	Date:	February 6, 2001		
Applio	cant:	Innovision Limited		
Title:		METHOD AND APPA AUDIO AND OTHER		R PACKING AND DECODING
Exam	ner:	Treffaney R. Lowe		
Attorn	ey's Ref:	663-151/MBE		_
U.S. P 2011 S Crysta	uissioner of Pate atent and Trade South Clark Pla I Plaza 2, Lobb ton, VA 22202	mark Office ce y, Room 1B03		COAP
Dear S				
		PETITION FOR	EXTENSIO	N OF TIME
The ap §1.135	(a) for:	s that the time for taking ne month wo months	( )	s case be extended pursuant to 37 CFR three months four months
The fe	e set in 37 CFR	§1.17 for the extension	of time is \$4	120.
( )	Applicant is a	small entity entitled to p	pay reduced t	fees in this application.
( )	Our check in the		losed.	
(X)	Fee of \$420. is paper is enclos	to be charged to our D ed.	eposit Accou	ent No. 500663. A duplicate of this
(X)	The Commissi the above fees if required for	to our Deposit Account	arge any defi No. 500663	iciency or credit any overpayment in  A duplicate of this paper is enclosed
Also e	nclosed is a:			
	(X) Response	e () Notice of provide details]	Appeal	by Mark B. Eisen Reg. No. 33088 Date: January 9, 2004

#### CERTIFICATE OF FACSIMILE TRANSMISSION

I hereby certify that this document and the documents indicated as enclosed herewith are being sent by facsimile transmission to the United States Patent and Trademark Office on the date set forth below.

January 9, 2004

Date

Mark B. Eisen

Registration No. 33088

#### IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Application No.: 09/776,730

Filing Date:

February 6, 2001

Applicant:

Innovision Limited

Title:

METHOD AND APPARATUS

FOR PACKING AND

DECODING AUDIO AND

OTHER DATA

AMENDME

Examiner:

Treffaney R. Lowe

In response to the Official Action

Dated: August 11, 2003

Attorney's Ref.: 663-151/MBE

Commissioner for Patents U.S. Patent and Trademark Office 2011 South Clark Place Customer Window, Mail Stop Non-Fee Amendment Crystal Plaza 2, Lobby, Room 1B03 Arlington, VA 22202 U.S.A.

Dear Sir:

#### REMARKS

The Examiner has rejected claims 1, 2 and 10 as being obvious based on Kopf in view of Fielder, and further in view of Warren. In respect of claim 1, the Examiner's position is that Fielder discloses steps a. and b., Warren teaches steps c. and e., and Kopf teaches step d. The applicant respectfully submits that there is no motivation for this combination, but it is not necessary to

rely on this to traverse the objection because Fielder does not teach steps a. and b. of the claimed method. In particular, Fielder does not teach providing one word in each compression packet with a **component** of configuration data, so that overall a compression block contains sufficient configuration information to identify the manner of packing data into the compression block.

The passage in Fielder cited by the Examiner, column 8, lines 41-43, teaches control data being generated for a control segment 270 that includes a synchronization pattern which allows decoders to synchronize to sequential frames. This has nothing to do with the manner of packing data into the frames, but rather provides synchronization information only. Equally important, the control data is not indicated as being merely "a component" of configuration data, which is read together with other components to identify the manner of packing the data.

In fact, Fielder specifically teaches away from this feature, stating that his process may be repeated for each block of the audio signal coded into a corresponding sequential frame of the data channel (col. 8, lines 49-52). There would be reason to repeat the process for each block of the audio signal, and in fact it would not be possible to repeat the process for each block of the audio signal, if Fielder generated *different* components of configuration data in each block. Fielder's system is redundant, and the repetition of the same configuration data in different blocks takes up space that could be used for other data.

According to the present invention, compressed audio and other data is packed into any available bits for an audio subframe in a way that the packing method used can be detected and decoded at the receiving station. Each word within a compression block (e.g. consisting of 48 compression packets) is provided with only a component of the configuration information. The packing methodology is decoded at the receiving end after the various components within the compression block have been read. In this manner, the redundancy inherent in systems like Fielder's is avoided, allowing more information to be packed into the remaining available bits; accommodating 16, 20 and 24 bit resolutions; and allowing for packing in a variety of formats, including different formats for different channels.

January 9, 2004

The applicant thus submits that claim 1 and 11 as presently written distinguish the invention over the cited prior art. Favourable reconsideration and allowance of this application are therefore respectfully requested.

A Petition for an Extension of Time requesting an extension of two months for filing the subject response is enclosed. The Commissioner is authorized to charge any deficiency or credit any overpayment in the fees for same to our Deposit Account No. 500663. A signed copy of this page is enclosed if required for this purpose.

Executed at Toronto, Ontario, Canada, on January 9, 2004.

INNOVISION LIMITED

Mark B. Eisen

Registration No. 33088

MBE:jr Encl.

Duplicate of signature page Petition for Extension of Time (in duplicate) The applicant thus submits that claim 1 and 11 as presently written distinguish the invention over the cited prior art. Favourable reconsideration and allowance of this application are therefore respectfully requested.

A Petition for an Extension of Time requesting an extension of two months for filing the subject response is enclosed. The Commissioner is authorized to charge any deficiency or credit any overpayment in the fees for same to our Deposit Account No. 500663. A signed copy of this page is enclosed if required for this purpose.

Executed at Toronto, Ontario, Canada, on January 9, 2004.

INNOVISION LIMITED

Mark B. Eiser

Registration No. 33088

MBE:jr Encl.

Duplicate of signature page Petition for Extension of Time (in duplicate)

COL

# MESSAGE CONFIRMATION

## JAN-09-2004 12:47 PM FRI

FAX NUMBER

NAME

NAME/NUMBER

\*315\*06630151\*17037469430

PAGE

JAN-09-2004 12:45PM FRI

START TIME ELAPSED TIME

01'40"

MODE

STD ECM

RESULTS

[ O.K ]



# DIMOCK STRATTON CLARIZIO LLP

Barristers and Solicitors • Patent and Trade-Mark Agents



DATE:

January 9, 2004

	D-0-4	FAX#
TO: NAME & FIRM	PHONE#	
Examiner Treffaney R. Lowc United States Patent and Trademark Office GROUP ART UNIT: 2697	1-703-305-5593	1-703-746-9430

FROM:

Mark B. Eisen

OUR FILE:

663-151/MBB

TOTAL PAGES SENT (including this page): 7

Confirmation of facsimile to follow: Yes X No, unless requested

If you do not receive all the pages of this facsimile clearly, please call Janice Ranco at (416) 971-7202.

#### NOTES:

# Re: U.S. Patent Application No. 09/776,730

Documents Enclosed: Response to Office Action of August 11, 2003 (signature page in duplicate); Petition for 2 month extension of time (in duplicate).

This fax may contain information which is confidential and privileged. If you are not the intended recipient of this fax, you are not permitted to make use of this fax.

If you have received this in error, please notify the sender.



#### DIMOCK STRATTON CLARIZIO LLP

Barristers and Solicitors • Patent and Trade-Mark Agents



DATE:

January 9, 2004

To: Name & Firm	PHONE #	Fax#
Examiner Treffaney R. Lowe United States Patent and Trademark Office GROUP ART UNIT: 2697	1-703-305-5593	1-703-746-9430

FROM:

Mark B. Eisen

OUR FILE:

663-151/MBE

TOTAL PAGES SENT (including this page): 7

Confirmation of facsimile to follow: Yes X No, unless requested

If you do not receive all the pages of this facsimile clearly,

please call Janice Ranco at (416) 971-7202.

#### NOTES:

U.S. Patent Application No. 09/776,730 Re:

Documents Enclosed: Response to Office Action of August 11, 2003 (signature page in duplicate); Petition for 2 month extension of time (in duplicate).

This fax may contain information which is confidential and privileged. If you are not the intended recipient of this fax, you are not permitted to make use of this fax.

If you have received this in error, please notify the sender.